arXiv:cond-mat/0302320v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 16 Feb 2003

New Zero-Resistance States in Heterostructures: Anderson-Brinkman-Phillips Charge-Density Waves and New States

Keshav N. Shrivastava

School of Physics, University of Hyderabad,
Hyderabad 500046, India

Recently observed zero-resistance states are interpreted by Anderson-Brinkman and Phillips as charge density waves. We point out the existence of charge-density waves, superconducting states and new states including a state of zero charge and finite spin.

Corresponding author: keshav@mailaps.org
Fax: +91-40-2301 0145.Phone: 2301 0811.

1. Introduction

Recently zero-resistivity has been observed[1,2] at small magnetic fields when the heterostructures are irradiated by microwaves. Phillips has suggested that the observation may be explained by sliding charge density waves which can give very low resistivity in the presence of a small magnetic field. Anderson and Brinkman have considered two possible mechanisms. According to one of the mechanisms, there are both positive as well as negative contributions to the resistivity so that the resultant value comes near zero. The negative resistance may imply charge-density fluctuations which may be observable in the power spectrum. In the past whenever a near zero resistance was found, it was assigned to charge-density waves and in a few cases, superconductivity was identified by using Meissner effect. When both the experiments, i.e., the zero-resistivity and negative susceptibility were successful, it was thought to be superconductivity with spin-singlet states. In recent years, a complex gap of a superconductor has been discussed. However, in the case of ^3He, singlets, triplets with ± 1 component, and triplets with 0 as well as ± 1 components have been found to phase separate.

Anderson-Brinkman[3] as well as Phillips[4] agree that there are some kind of charge density waves. We have been working on such problems for some time so that we pray that our interpretation may also be considered. We are not against the charge-density waves which is one of the components in our solution. Our solution follows.

2. Description

When we try to calculate the effective magnetic moment we find several solutions[5]. One of the solutions has zero g-value so that the frequency of this mode is zero. This solution is therefore similar to the charge-density waves. When spin is reversed, the zero energy solution changes to a finite energy solution. If we can have one particle with spin up and zero energy and the other particle with spin down and finite energy, we can have a new type of superconductivity so that the resistivity is zero due to zero orbital angular momentum and zero spin. The orbital angular momentum of each of the particles is zero, $l = 0$, and spin for one is *down* while for the other is *up* so that there is a zero momentum, spin-singlet type state which is superconducting. Thus for $l = 0$, only these two solutions are found, namely, the charge density waves or the superconductivity. These states are distinguished by the sign of susceptibility. The charge-density waves are paramagnetic whereras superconductivity is diamagnetic.

Let us now imagine that l becomes finite. Here the contribution of Anderson model becomes very important. It may mean that going from l=0 to l=1 is an Anderson type transition. Once l=1, we have an interesting situation in which one spin configuration such as -1/2 gives an effective charge of 1/3 and +1/2 gives a charge of 2/3. In fact, varying the values of l reproduces “Stormer's” values[7] exactly. It is a wonder that this theory gives all of the fractional charges exactly as found in the experimental data on the quantum Hall effect. For very large values of the orbital angular momentum, even the 1/2 filled Landau level is produced and there is a Bose-Einstein condensation at this point. The width of the plateau is determined by the ordinary electron-phonon type interaction which can be reduced to an activation energy type process.

In this way, we have many solutions, one of which is a charge density, the other is superconductivity and there are others with effective fractional charges. This point of view is slightly different from Anderson-Brinkman-Phillips interpretation, yet it has all of the features of the experimental data. We have a particle[6] with zero charge and spin 1/2 so that its frequency is also zero which is a characteristic of the charge-density wave, in agreement with Anderson, Brinkman and Phillips.

3. Conclusions.

Some new states occur. These states are superconducting but as the magnetic field is varied, the spin flips and the state is destroyed and then another state is created. One of the solutions has a charge density wave with zero frequency but the resistivity results are dominated by non-charge density waves. Therefore, the results of refs.1 and 2 have new results[5].

4. References

1. R. G. Mani, et al, Nature **419**, 646(2002)
2. M. A. Zudov, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 046807(2003).
3. P. W. Anderson and W. F. Brinkman, cond-mat/0302129.
4. J. C. Phillips, cond-mat/0212416.
5. K.N. Shrivastava, Introduction to quantum Hall effect,
   Nova Science Pub. Inc., N. Y. (2002).
6. K. N. Shrivastava, cond-mat/0212552.

7. H. L. Stormer, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 875 (1999).

Note: Ref.5 is available from:
Nova Science Publishers, Inc.,
400 Oser Avenue, Suite 1600,
Hauppauge, N. Y.. 11788-3619,
Tel.(631)-231-7269, Fax: (631)-231-8175,
ISBN 1-59033-419-1 US$69.
E-mail: novascience@Earthlink.net